## Submission ID: 17737

I am supportive of well executed, genuine green initiatives but I know that Mallard Pass Solar proposal is defiantly not one of them, if ever approved it would never achieve the political ambitions of carbon neutral energy.

Mallard Pass Solar installation approval would turn a local, gently undulating arable countryside into a mass industrial landscape. This proposal will harm our local wildlife habitat, our footpaths and our well-loved and well used bridle ways that are part of our scenic way of life, turning living in the country side to being in the middle of a vast industrial estate. It will increase localised flooding in the immediate areas where I and my family walk for solace and recreation. If approved my surrounding country side turns into an eyesore of a PV industrial estate.

For too many residents the proposal has already caused mental and physical stress which will only escalate exponential growth beyond control if approved.

I support the use of correctly placed brownfield and individual roof top solar installations, if amassed and utilised in this way feeding into the grid will be as all of the domestic applications do to date with their so call excess capacity. I do not support any greenfield installations and I am very concerned with the size of this proposed site and the excessive number of panels required. In addition to these intrinsic corrosive panels, the 25 miles of security fencing and lighting, will alienate every local incumbent species, including humans with disruption, cooling fan noise and security light pollution, that will accompany any placement of this magnitude.

I feel that the ethical and environmental concerns in the manufacture of the solar panels along with the long-distance carbon footprint of transport from a duplicitous country of manufacture has to be considered and rejected, along with the problems of the inevitable failure of the decommissioning process will bring in the future.

The claimed 40-year life of the industrial landscape then being restored to its original condition is a ridicules farce. If approved the site has the potential to continue to expand, mitigation ignored. The restoration never taking place, due to the financial collapse of the companies and the industry, causing any clean up compensation paid for from the public purse.

There are precedence examples set by companies in countries where this green claim has fallen already, with immense land fill of spent solar panels, as recycling is deemed too costly. Thus, leaving derelict unproductive hectares of land. The toxic panels have become a millstone around the neck of the early users and the eventual clean-up will be in parallel with a synergy of asbestos contamination control that exist today. The claim when a property is for sale by the measure of the Efficiency Performance Certificate (EPC) is that Photo Voltaic (PVs) improve the value as a house's green credentials. This will be the millstone that truly lowers the value of property in time to come.

The fact that the land proposed for solar panels, if approved, will not be viable again to grow productive food is off deep concern, and will be left derelict and deemed brown field literally.

Solar panels cannot produce their stated capacity in the UK and need constant clear bright sun light to do so. I know the KW figures and carbon recovery period, post 10.5 years, that Mallard Pass quote are not achievable and therefore the overarching viability of the proposed Mallard Pass Solar Industrial estate.

It should be made a criminal offence to allow good productive agricultural land to be decommissioned for the use of an incapable industrial estate. The turncoat two faced farmers involved should be prosecuted for signing up to this distortion. As for years they have shouted and screamed 'get off my land' if you attempt to walk around the hedgerow of a field, some already littered with signs of 'private land keep out'.

These farmers previously claimed to be the custodians of wild life and the English country side, hitherto hailed a slogan 'do not criticise farmers with your mouth full'

It turns out they are in greediness of money by any means, not the vocation they started farming life for. As already seen the rapid rise of arable food crops will soon out way the 'Kings shilling' of PV companies financial bribes and farmers will be wishing they had never signed up.

Short term diversification is acceptable of agricultural land being creativity used for alternative food production; However, these culprits and Mallard Pass are as corrupt as the land developers with hidden agendas. Developers who devastate green field rural countryside sites with inappropriate urban housing layouts driven by the false belief they are providing a needed local affordable housing stock.

The loss of food production is a critical measure, Future generations can moderate the use of electricity going forward and get to embrace this, as in the past 'in season' crop varieties grown locally, other than the array of imported produce from far away as rapidly consumed today. The green wash society need to get ready for a ferocious collapse of society when there is not enough to eat and their stomachs are empty.

The root cause of the issue is 'too many people, consuming too much, too fast.

The whole proposal is based on profit driven decisions not Co2 green energy which is a foil and the mass general public have been overly coerced into a false perception, when they discover the reality, will be shocked and look for someone to blame.

Earth provides enough to satisfy every man's needs, but not every man's greed".

To avoid this failure of a hoodwinked society waiting 40 years to realise their error, the application should be robustly rejected.

It is clear you can fool most of the people all of the time if this is approved.